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Introduction and Research Problem

The educational policy in Egypt has always focused on a number of issues such as curriculum development, teaching, promoting educational activities, teacher re-qualification, introducing new technology and diversifying the sources of knowledge. Despite the increased awareness of the importance of teaching in improving and developing society, the continuous efforts to enhance and reform teaching in the light of local and world changes, and the continuous work towards curriculum development, graduates of public education institutions still need to exert greater efforts in order to improve the educational process.

One of the major contemporary educational challenges is the inadequacy of the teaching process, a fact attributable to the failure to view education as a system consisting of related components that work together to achieve a common target. Studies aiming at developing the teaching process usually start with one component, namely the content of the curriculum, with no apparent link with other components, such as aims of the curriculum, evaluation methods, etc, thus attending to developing particular components rather than the curriculum as a whole (52: 154 – 156), (48: 145), (47: 6, 7).

Recently, a new approach based on a systematic change in the teaching curriculum, has been introduced, according to which teaching is viewed as one comprehensive system consisting of several sub-systems inter-related in such a manner as to serve one common purpose. The system involves interactive, overlapping and dynamic relationships between its parts. It is in a state of constant dynamic change, influenced both by external inputs from the surrounding environment and internal inputs inherent in the system. The system benefits from outputs and feedback as well. Organization, growth and accumulated information also play major roles in the system. (21: 24), (32: 125).

The systematic approach can be effectively used in the different stages of education to advance the cognitive, skillful and emotional aspects of the learning process in a harmonious, congruent manner. Identifying what learners know and what they need to know, the systematic approach seeks to create opportunities that would facilitate learning and translate the learning outputs into measurable objectives. The approach also stimulates learners, improves their skills of solving problems and develop their higher skills of thinking (49: 24), (50: 88), (53: 125).

Further studies on volleyball teaching and learning must explore the cognitive as well as the professional motives of using the systematic approach and how it can be used to develop volleyball skills. Compared to efforts exerted so far in volleyball teaching, progress on the skillful level of students was unsatisfactory. The systematic approach would hopefully contribute to the acquisition by students of the necessary skills and benefit from the contents of volleyball curriculum. A computerized systematic approach of the skillful learning of volleyball would certainly be more effective, will take into account the individual differences between
learners and help them acquire experience that would save time and effort.

Research Objective

This research aims at designing an educational program using a computerized systematic approach of volleyball skills [throwing the ball from the top to the front, throwing it from the bottom by hands together, sending it from the bottom opposite] for freshmen in the Faculty of Physical Education, South Valley University and determining its effect on:

1- Skilful learning
2- Cognitive achievement
3- The emotional aspect of the student's character

Research Hypotheses

1- There are statistically significant differences between the score means of the 2 post measurements in the control and experimental groups in learning volleyball skills addressed by this paper, favoring the experimental group.

2- The percentage of change in learning volleyball skills is higher in the experimental group than in the control group.

3- There are statistically significant differences between the score means of the 2 post measurements for the control and experimental groups in the cognitive achievement of volleyball skills addressed by this paper, favoring the experimental group.

4- Percentage of change in the cognitive achievement of volleyball skills is higher in the experimental group than in the control group.

5- There are statistically significant differences in the emotional aspect of the experimental group students favoring the use of the computerized systematic approach in learning volleyball skills addressed in this paper.

Research Procedures and Plan

To achieve the research objective and test its hypotheses, the following procedures were taken:

Methodology

The experimental method was used, being suitable for this type of study. The method was applied to two groups: an experimental group and a control group, and pre- and post-measurements were taken of both groups.

Research Community and Sample

The research community included freshmen in the faculty of physical education, South Valley University in the 2010 / 2011 academic year (N = 232 students). A sample of 60 students was randomly selected, constituting about 25% of the research community. The sample was divided into two equal groups, each of 30 students. The suggested educational program, based on the computerized systematic approach in learning volleyball skills, was applied to one of the groups (the experimental group), while the conventional programme, based on explanation and model performance, was used with the other (control group). The following categories were excluded:

1- Failing students and students who did not attend regularly
2- Students enrolled in sporting clubs and those who have already won sports championships.

The Normal Distribution of the Sample

Researchers made sure that the distribution of sample subjects was normal for both groups [the experimental and the control groups] in the light of the following variables: growth rates (age, height and weight), physical changes, skillful variables and cognitive acquisition [Table (1)].
Table (1)
Mean, median, standard deviation and coefficient of torsion of age, height, weight, physical tests, skill tests, and cognitive acquisition tests of the volleyball skills in the total sample of the control and the experimental groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Coefficient of torsion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Centimeter</td>
<td>171.13</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>171.00</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Kilogram</td>
<td>69.63</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>-.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running 30m from starting movements</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>-.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending the trunk forwards from the standing position</td>
<td>Centimeter</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throwing and receiving the ball</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle running</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad jump from the standing position</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooting with the hand to the overlapping circles</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of passing over the ball to the wall</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing from the bottom of the ballot the wall for 30 seconds</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAPHER test to send from the bottom</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>-.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acquisition</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>-.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (1) shows that coefficient of torsion of the variables ranged between 2.50 and 0.74 (3+, -3). This indicates the normal frequency distribution of the research sample.
Equivalency of the research groups

The equivalency between the two groups in the variables of age, height, weight, physical tests, skill tests, and cognitive acquisition test in volleyball was found, [Tables (2), (3) and (4)]

Table (2)

Significance of differences between the two means of degrees for the control and experimental groups in the variables of age, height and weight

N = 60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Controlling Group</th>
<th>&quot;T&quot; value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>17.60 .72</td>
<td>17.73 .69</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Centimeter</td>
<td>171.13 2.15</td>
<td>171.40 2.19</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Kilogram</td>
<td>69.63 1.81</td>
<td>69.07 2.00</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabular "T" value at the level of (0.05) = 2.021

According to Table (2), there are no statistically significant differences between the two means of measurement degrees for the control and experimental groups in the variables of age, height and weight, whereas the calculated "T" value is less than the tabular "T" value at the level of (0.05), this refers to the equivalency of these variables.

Table (3)

Significance of differences between the two means of the measurement degrees for the control and experimental groups in the Physical tests

N = 60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical tests</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Calculated &quot;T&quot; value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Running 30m from starting movements</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>4.35 .20</td>
<td>4.33 .24</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending the trunk forwards from the standing position</td>
<td>Centimeter</td>
<td>18.50 1.80</td>
<td>18.43 1.96</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throwing and receiving the ball</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>17.47 1.14</td>
<td>17.23 1.17</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle running</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>12.16 .33</td>
<td>12.10 .26</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad jump from the standing position</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>1.88 .10</td>
<td>1.87 .10</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooting with the hand to the overlapping circles</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>6.50 .57</td>
<td>6.40 .67</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabular "T" value at the level (0.05) = 2.021

Table (3) shows that there are no statistically significant differences between the two means of measurement degrees for the experimental and control groups in the physical tests, whereas the calculated (T) value is less than the tabular (T) value at the level (0.05) This indicates the equivalency of the two groups in theses tests.
Table (4)
Significance of differences between the two means of the pre measurement degrees for the control and experimental groups in the skill tests and cognitive acquisition test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Calculated &quot;T&quot; value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of passing over the ball to the wall</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing from the bottom of the ballot the wall for 30 seconds</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAPHER test to send from the bottom</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>20.03</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acquisition</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabular 'T' value at the level (0.05) = 2.021

Table (4) shows that there are no statistically significant differences between the means of the pre-measurement degrees for the experimental and control groups in skill tests and cognitive acquisition test, whereas the calculated (T) value is less than the Tabular 'T' value at the level (0.05) = 2.021. This indicates the equivalency of both groups in the said tests.

Means of data collecting
1- Physical tests.
2- Skill tests.
3- Cognitive acquisition test (designed by the researchers).
4- Emotional aspect scale (designed by the researchers).
5- The educational program using the computerized systematic approach (designed by the researchers).
6- A compact disc "CD" prepared by the researchers.

Pilot Studies
The first pilot study was carried out in the period 24/02/2011 – 06/03/2011 on a sample of 60 students from the same research community but outside the original sample and similar to it, in order to test the tools and devices used in the research, and making sure of their suitability, training the assistants on applying the measurement and finding the scientific coefficients. The second pilot study was carried out in the period 07/03/2011 to 08/03/2011 on a sample of 30 students from the same research community but outside the original sample and similar to it, in order to try the systematic approach program for volleyball skills through implementation of the program in the educational unit to identify the instructional situation. It was found out that the students have a high ability to use the systematic approach (CD software).

Preparatory Procedures
A meeting was held for the students of the control and experimental groups on 09/03/2011 to explain the research objectives, how to enter and leave the computer laboratory, how to use the software of the systematic approach, how to deal with the basics instructions, and follow the instructions of the researchers who taught the experimental group students, and also to explain the work system to the control group students. The researchers were satisfied with the understanding of the two groups of the nature of the work assigned to them.

Pre-measurements
Pre-measurements of the control and experimental groups were taken in the period 10/03/2011 – 12/03/2011.

Procedures
1- Upon completion of pre-measurements, research was conducted in the period 13/03/2011 – 20/04/2011.

2- Researchers taught the students of the control and experimental groups, using the computerized systematic approach to carryout the research through the following steps:
   A- researchers started by writing down names of absent students in the experimental group and going in an orderly manner to the computer laboratory on time. Every student got the software CD to watch and interact with the part determined by the researcher of the systematic approach software.
   B- when they finish watching for fifteen minutes, researchers get back the CD, then students leave to the play ground passing by the section of warm up and physical preparation, then they carry out what they saw and interacted with in the program of the systematic approach that is related to volleyball in the educational unit, this will be done in the applied activity under the researchers supervision and guidance.

3- The researchers taught the experimental group by using the computerized systematic approach, and the control groups by the traditional method [explanation and model performance], this was on every Sunday and Wednesday during carrying out the research. This was subject to the time distribution in the educational units during carrying out the research for the experimental and control groups.

4- Doing the educational units took about a month and half, I mean that the six weeks assigned for doing the research. Educational units were divided into 12 units for each group, two units a week for each of the experimental and control groups, time of each unit was 120 minutes.

5- The organized form and time distribution of the educational unit were as follows:
   A- administrative work [5 minutes ]
   B- watching the programs [15 minutes]
   C- warm up and physical preparation [20 minutes]
   D- applied activity [70minutes]
   E- conclusion [10 minutes]

6- A number of demonstrators from the faculty of physical education assisted in doing the scientific coefficient coefficients and getting the pre and post measurements.

Post measurement
Post measurements were taken after applying the research to the experimental and control group just as was done with pre-measurements. Measurements were taken in the period from April 21, 2011 to April 24, 2011.

Statistical treatment
Data was statistically treated to find the mean, the standard deviation, the median, the correlation coefficient, the coefficient of ease, the coefficient of difficulty, the coefficient of difference, the Kay square, the alpha coefficient and the relative weight. The significance level [0,05] satisfied the researchers. The Microsoft excel and the SPSS softwares were used in the statistical treatment.
Table (5)
Significance of differences between the mean of the pre and post measurement degrees in the control and experimental group in skill tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Skill test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Mean of pre-measurement</th>
<th>Mean of post measurement</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Difference mean</th>
<th>Calculated [t] value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Accuracy of passing from the top of the wall</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20,80</td>
<td>27,70</td>
<td>1,18</td>
<td>6,90</td>
<td>31,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Passing from the bottom of the wall for 30 seconds</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>18,37</td>
<td>24,73</td>
<td>2,11</td>
<td>6,37</td>
<td>16,54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAPHER for sending from the bottom</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20,17</td>
<td>29,03</td>
<td>1,50</td>
<td>8,87</td>
<td>32,32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular [t] value at the level of 0.05 = 1.68

Table (5) shows that there are statistically significant differences between the mean of the pre and post measurement degrees in the experimental groups in the skill tests favoring post measurements, where the calculated [t] value is higher than the [t] value at the level (0.05).

Table (6)
Significance of differences between the means of the pre and post measurements degree in the control group in the skill tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Skill test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Mean of pre-measurement</th>
<th>Mean of post measurement</th>
<th>Standard deviation difference</th>
<th>Differences mean</th>
<th>Calculated [T] value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Accuracy of passing from the top on the wall</td>
<td>degree</td>
<td>20,83</td>
<td>25,30</td>
<td>1,47</td>
<td>4,47</td>
<td>14,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Passing from the bottom on the wall for 30 seconds</td>
<td>degree</td>
<td>18,43</td>
<td>22,97</td>
<td>2,65</td>
<td>4,53</td>
<td>9,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAPHER test for sending from the bottom</td>
<td>degree</td>
<td>20,03</td>
<td>26,10</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>6,07</td>
<td>29,08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular [t] value at the level (0.05) = 1.68
Table (6) shows that there are statistically significant differences between the mean of the pre and the post measurement degrees in the experimental group in the skill tests favoring post measurements, where the calculated [t] is higher than the tabular [t value at the level (0,05).

Table (7)
Significance of differences between the means of the pre and post measurements degree in the control group in the skill tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Calculated [T] value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-accuracy of passing from the top on the wall</td>
<td>degree</td>
<td>27,70</td>
<td>1,02</td>
<td>25,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ssing from the bottom on the wall for 30 seconds</td>
<td>degree</td>
<td>24,73</td>
<td>0,74</td>
<td>22,97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-PHER test for sending from the bottom</td>
<td>degree</td>
<td>29,03</td>
<td>1,02</td>
<td>26,10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular [t] value at the level (0,05)=2,021

Table (7) shows that there are statistically significant differences between the mean of the pre and the post measurement degrees in the experimental group in the skill tests favoring post measurements, where the calculated [t] is higher than the tabular [t value at the level (0,05).

Table (8)
Percentage change in the control and experimental groups in the skill tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill test</th>
<th>Measurement group</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Percentage change %</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Percentage change %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-accuracy of passing from the top on the wall</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>20,08</td>
<td>27,70</td>
<td>33,17</td>
<td>20,83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ssing from the bottom on the wall for 30 seconds</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>18,37</td>
<td>24,73</td>
<td>34,62</td>
<td>18,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-PHER test for sending from the bottom</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>201,17</td>
<td>29,03</td>
<td>43,93</td>
<td>20,03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular [t] value at the level (0,05)=2,021.

Table (8) shows that percentage change in the experienced group is higher than in the control group in the skill tests.
Table (9)
Significance of differences between the mean of the pre measurement and the post measurement degrees in the experimental group in the cognitive acquisition test

\[ N = 30 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Mean pre-measurement</th>
<th>Mean of post measurement</th>
<th>Differences standard deviation</th>
<th>Differences mean</th>
<th>Calculate ([t]) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acquisition</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>13,30</td>
<td>27,03</td>
<td>1,76</td>
<td>13,73</td>
<td>42,73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular \([t]\) value at the level of \(0,05 = 1,68\).

Table (9) shows that there are statistically significant differences between the mean of the pre and the post measurements degrees in the experimental group in the cognitive acquisition test favoring post measurements, where the calculated \([t]\) value is higher than the tabular \([t]\) value at the level of 0,050.

Table (10)
Significance of differences between the means of the pre and post measurements degrees in the control group in the cognitive acquisition

\[ N = 30 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Mean of pre measurement</th>
<th>Mean of post measurement</th>
<th>Differences standard deviation</th>
<th>difference mean</th>
<th>Calculated ([t]) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acquisition</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>13,23</td>
<td>23,73</td>
<td>1,91</td>
<td>10,50</td>
<td>30,15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular \([t]\) value at the level of \(0,05 = 1,68\).

Table (10) shows that there are statistically significant differences between the mean of the pre and the post measurement degrees in the experimental group in the skill test favoring post measurements, with the calculated \([t]\) value higher than the tabular \([t]\) value at the level of 0,05.

Table (11)
Significance of differences between the mean of the two post measurements degrees for the control and experimental group in the cognitive acquisition test

\[ N = 60 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acquisition</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>27,03</td>
<td>1,13</td>
<td>1,08</td>
<td>11,37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The \([t]\) value at the level \((0,05) = 2,021\).
Table (11) shows that there are statistically significant differences between the means of the two post measurement degrees in the cognitive acquisition test favoring the experimental group, with the calculated [t] value at the level of 0.05.

Table (12)
Percentage change in the control and experimental groups in the cognitive acquisition test
N = 60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acquisition</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>23.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular [t] value at the level (0.05) = 2.021.

Table (12) shows that there are percentage changes in the cognitive acquisition test favoring the experimental and control groups.

Table (13)
The emotional side of the students in the experimental group toward Using the computerized systematic approach in learning volleyball
N = 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phrase Number</th>
<th>Agree Strongly</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
<th>Disagree Strongly</th>
<th>Relative weight</th>
<th>Parentage</th>
<th>K2 Ka</th>
<th>Significance Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>92.22</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>86.78</td>
<td>21.80</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>95.56</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>34.20</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>84.44</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>94.44</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabular (Ka2) at the level (0.05) = 5.99

Table (13) shows that the Students' emotional Side in the experimental group towards the phrases of the emotional measurement is statistically significant at the level of 0.05. This indicates that using the computerized systematic approach in learning volleyball skills has a positive effect on the students' Emotional Side in the experimental group.
Discussion

Table (7) shows that there are statistically significant differences at the level of 0.05 between the means of the two post measurements degrees in the experimental and control groups in the skill tests favoring the experimental group, where the calculated (T) value is higher then the tabular (T) value. Researchers attribute the progress of the students in the experimental group on the students of the control groups when they learned volleyball skills to using the systematic approach software with its varied audio and visual facilities, watching the skills in a unified and direct way simplified students' learning of volleyball skills students' interaction with the computer simplified learning and encouraged learners to gain the sporty motional kills and their motional conception. This agrees with that Mohamed Saad, Makarem Aboharga and Hany Said referred to (2001). They said that visual processes and using feedback have a positive effect on building and developing motional conception, improving performance and fast learning. Learning Technology in the field of physical Education helps to perform the visual skill in the a unified way for all learners, so it helps to evaluate its understanding instead of showing it by more human models including various ways of performance (36 : 22 , 23 ).

This also agrees with what Nesreen Ali (2005) finding that using the systematic approach helped learners understand the major concepts that are related to skills and showing them in a systematic way that increased the ability to use them accurately in practice, fulfilling these basic skills learning, and the positive effect on the skill level and increased the motivation of learning (43 : 95).

Researchers also attribute the progress of the experiment group students on the students of the control groups to using the computerized systematic approach which caused a progress in the skill level of volleyball, because it helped the students to know the basic concepts of these skills in the light of research, showing them in a systematic way that determines the relation between the skills and concept through the computerized show, and connecting them with the previously learned skills to be used completely in the applied activity. This complies with what Basma Morsy referred to (2009)(13) in the outcomes of her study showing that the systematic approach has a positive effect on the skill level more than the traditional method.

So the first hypothesis which indicates that there are statistically significant differences between the means of the two post measurements for the control and experiment groups in learning volleyball skills favoring the experimental group, was confirmed.

Results of table (8) show that there are differences in the percentage change between the pre and post measurement degrees in the control and experiments groups in skill tests favoring the experimental group.

Percentage change of the experimental group that used the systematic approach software ranged between 33.17% and 13.93% , but the control group that used traditional way ranged between 21.46% and 30.30%, but the percentage change in the skill tests, accuracy of passing from the top on the wall, passing from the bottom on the wall for thirty seconds, AAPHER test for sending from the bottom was as follows: (33.17%, 34.62%, 43.93%) in the experiments groups, while the percentage change in the control groups in the same skill tests was as follows: (21.46%, 24.63%, 30.30%), therefore the highest improvement percentage in the skill tests was in the experiment group. Researchers suggest that using the systematic approach software caused this improvement in view of its positive effect on learning volleyball skills in the light of research.

Researchers attribute these differences to the experimental variable which used the systematic approach since it enabled students to know the main concepts related to volleyball skills, showing them in a systematic way that determines the relations between skills and concepts, and increasing the ability to use them accurately in practice. This leads to a full learning of these skills as they represent for the leaner connected and interacted experience that can be applied easily.

These results comply with results of the studies by Nesreen Ali (2005)(43), Eman Mohammed (2007)(12), Basma Morsi (2009)(13) and
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Ibtesam Ali (2010) (1) which used the systematic approach, and indicated that it enables student to develop their skills from the easy one to the difficult, and from the simple to the complex. This helps achieve the skill aims. Thus the seconds hypothesis which stated that percentage change of the experimental group is higher than that of the control group in learning volleyball skills.

The results of table (11) show that there are statistically significant differences between the degrees in the control and experimental groups in the cognitive acquisition test favoring the experimental group, where as the calculated (T) value is higher than the tabular (T) value.

Researchers attribute the progress of the students in the experimental on the students in the control groups in the cognitive acquisition test of the volleyball skills in the light of research to using the systematic approach software including information related to the side of historic development, some legal features, the educational and technical parts in volleyball skills in the night of research. The computerized systematic approach connected between the learners new and old concepts and creating the ability to think in a distinct systematic way, this led to achieving one of learning aims (cognitive side) in a progressive way.

In this context Ameen Farouk Fahmy and Mona Abdalsabour (2001) indicate that the systematic approach is used in teaching process from the beginning to the end of the subject. At the beginning of the subject, it's used to connect the new concepts with the cognitive storage in learner's cognitive structure. It's used continuously during studying the subject to explain the mutual relation between the different concepts inside the systematic approach Also it is used at the end of studying the subject to connect the concepts, show the relation between them and distinguishing between them. In addition, it develops the student's ability to use the concepts in new situations match his understanding of the subject, this will help to develop the systematic thinking which id one of the most important uses of systematic approach in learning and education (11:63).

This agrees with the results of Nesreen Ali (2005) (43), Bassma Morsi (2009) (13), Amani Rafaat, Alsayed Sami and Sali Ibrahim (2010) (9) which showed that the systematic approach has a positive effect on learners "cognitive level".

Researches attribute the progress of the students in the experimental group on the students of the control groups to the computerized systematic approach that includes much information related to volleyball skills in the light of research. Computerized systematic approach increased learners motive towards learning, it considered the individual differences between learning, it considered the individual differences between learners. It helped in providing the sides of learning in general and the cognitive side in particular group didn't get this as their cognitive acquisition was traditional.

Hence, the third hypothesis which stated that there are differences with statistical significance between the means of the two post measurement degrees for the control and experimental group in the cognitive acquisition of volleyball skills in the light of research and in favor of the experimental group become true.

Also the results of table (12) showed that there are difference in the percentage change between the mean of the pre and post measurements degrees for the control and experimental group in the in the cognitive acquisition test in the light of research and in favor of the experimental group tat used a computerized systematic approach was %103.23 but it was %79.37 for the control groups which used a traditional way, so the highest percentage of improvement in the cognitive acquisition test was in favor of the experimental group.

Researchers see that this the systematic approach that had appositive effect on the cognitive acquisition of volleyball skills in the light of research, this agrees with the study results of Nesreen Ali (2005) (43), Bassma Morsi (2009) (13), and Ibtesam Ahmed Mohamed (2010) (1).

Researchers see that the improvement in the cognitive acquisition test for the student of the experimental group resulted from showing the material and organizing the content of volleyball curriculum by using the systematic approach and providing it to the learners in a
form of interacting relation that help them to
draw a mental map that organizes their
cognitive building, enable them to learn and
increase cognitive acquisition. This took place
also because of reorganizing the content and the
learner's activity. Besides starting with the
complete things then the divided things, there
isn't a random connection between the stimuli
and responses. In addition to preparing a
curriculum for volleyball by using the
systematic approach in the light of educational
objectives that are set clearly and accurately,
that what Fawzy Ahmed Zaher (1979)(31)
referred to he indicated that setting the
educational objectives accurately enables the
learner to know what's expected from him, this
makes him concentrated on achieving his aims.

So we find that the computerized systematic
approach provided the students of the
experimental group with the curriculum, and
increased their attention in the cognitive
acquisition of volleyball curriculum and helped
them to achieve the certain educational aims.
This shows the effect of the systematic approach
on achieving the cognitive aims.

Consequently the fourth hypothesis which stated
that the percentage change of the experimental
group is higher than the control groups in the
cognitive acquisition of volleyball skills in the
light of research was confirmed.

Results of table (13) showed that the students,
responses in the experimental group towards the
phrases of the emotional side measurement had
a statistical significance at the level (0.05), this
is a good in target for using the computerized
systematic approach in achieving the emotional
side. Researchers attribute the positive opinions
and impressions about the systematic approach
in the experimental group to its success in
removing feeling boredom and negativity
towards the traditional methods in teaching. It
considers the individual differences between
learners and their different abilities. Also it
draws their attention and motivates them. This
encouraged the students of the experimental
group to use the computerized systematic
approach. This agrees with the study results of
Hiatham Abdalmageed (2005) (45) and Asmaa

Researchers attribute the progress of the
experimental group students in the emotional
side to using the computerized systematic
approach in learning volleyball skills in the light
of the research. Volleyball is a source of
pleasure, fun, zeal and self confidence. It's a
team game that students love because of the
challenge and competition that it has. This
results in getting the main and motional skills
easily and makes the students do their best to
achieve their tasks without feeling bored.

(30) and Zaki Hassan (2003) (16) clarify that it's
easy to learn volleyball and develop in playing
it as it's simple, both genders can play it, it's not
restricted to a certain age. In addition, it it's can
benefit all parts of the body. It has many
benefits and characteristics such as improving
functional fitness, developing and promoting
physical fitness, learning basic, planning and
motional skills. It's a rich field for mental
abilities such as attention, consciousness,
understanding, concentration, intelligence
acquisition, keeping plans and the ability to
carry out them.

Ameen Farouk Fahmy and Mona Abdalsaour
(2001) (11) refer to the importance of caring
about all sides of learning [cognitive,
psychological and motional] in a comprehensive
and interactive way in order to Have citizens
who has good positive personality able to give,
work, renew, create and think in systematic
way. Using the computerized systematic
approach in teaching and learning volleyball
skills improved the students, emotions and their
aims.

Consequently, the fifth hypothesis which stated
that there are statistically significant
differences in the students' emotional side in the
experimental group towards using the
computerized systematic approach in learning
volleyball skills was confirmed.

Conclusion

1- Teaching by using the computerized
systematic approach has a positive effect and
is more effective in the teaching process, as
it resulted in the excellence of the
experimental group in the cognitive
acquisition test for the volleyball
curriculum.
2- The experimental group surpassed the control groups in all skill tests in the light of research.

3- Learning by using the computerized systematic approach has a positive effect on developing the emotional side as it increased the students' motivation and efficacy towards learning volleyball.

**Recommendations**

1- Using the systematic approach in teaching and learning volleyball because of its positive effect on learning.

2- Organizing training sessions for teachers of physical education related to applying the systematic approach in teaching and learning volleyball.

3- Students must have training related to the systematic approach in learning skill of the physical activities in the different educational stages during practical education.

4- Doing similar researches to know the effect of the computerized systematic approach on the different fields in faculties of physical education.
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